

Convolutional Codes: Techniques of Construction

Giuliano G. La Guardia

Abstract—In this paper we show how to construct new convolutional codes from old ones by applying the well-known techniques: puncturing, extending, expanding, direct sum, the $(\mathbf{u}|\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v})$ construction and the product code construction. By applying these methods, several new families of convolutional codes can be constructed. As an example of code expansion, families of convolutional codes derived from classical Bose-Chaudhuri-Hocquenghem (BCH), character codes and Melas codes are constructed.

I. INTRODUCTION

Constructions of (classical) convolutional codes and their corresponding properties have been presented in the literature [2, 5, 7–10, 12, 16, 25–30]. Forney [5] was the first author who introduced algebraic tools in order to describe convolutional codes. Addressing the construction of maximum-distance-separable (MDS) convolutional codes (in the sense that the codes attain the generalized Singleton bound introduced in [28, Theorem 2.2]), there exist interesting papers in the literature [8, 28, 30]. Concerning the optimality with respect to other bounds we have [26, 27], and in [7], strongly MDS convolutional codes were constructed. In [2, 12, 16, 29], the authors presented constructions of convolutional BCH codes. In [9], doubly-cyclic convolutional codes were constructed and in [10], the authors described cyclic convolutional codes by means of the matrix ring.

It is not simple to derive families of such codes by means of algebraic approaches. In other words, most of the convolutional codes available in the literature are constructed case by case. In order to derive new families of convolutional codes by means of algebraic methods, this paper is devoted to construct new convolutional from old ones. More precisely, we show how to obtain new codes by extending, puncturing, expanding, applying the direct sum, applying the $(\mathbf{u}|\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v})$ construction and finally employing the product code construction.

This paper is arranged as follows. In Section II we fix the notation. Section III presents a review of basics on convolutional codes. In Section IV we present the contributions of this work, namely, we show how to construct convolutional codes by applying the techniques above mentioned. In Section V we present two code tables containing parameters of convolutional codes known in the literature as well as containing parameters of new convolutional codes obtained from the code expansion developed in Subsection IV-A; in Section VI, a summary of this paper is given.

II. NOTATION

Throughout this paper, p denotes a prime number, q denotes a prime power, $\mathbb{F}_q$ is a finite field with q elements. The

(Hamming) distance of two vectors $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ is the number of coordinates in which $\mathbf{v}$ and $\mathbf{w}$ differ. The (Hamming) weight of a vector $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ is the number of nonzero coordinates of $\mathbf{v}$. The trace map $\text{tr}_{q^m/q} : \mathbb{F}_{q^m} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$

is defined as $\text{tr}_{q^m/q}(a) := \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} a^{q^i}$. Sometimes we abuse the notation by writing $C = [n, k, d]_q$ to denote a linear code of length n , dimension k and minimum distance d . The (Euclidean) dual of C is denoted by $C^\perp$ and we put $d^\perp = d(C^\perp)$ meaning the minimum distance of $C^\perp$.

III. CONVOLUTIONAL CODES

In this section we present a brief review of convolutional codes. For more details we refer the reader to [13, 14, 25].

Recall that a polynomial encoder matrix $G(D) \in \mathbb{F}_q[D]^{k \times n}$ is called *basic* if $G(D)$ has a polynomial right inverse. A basic generator matrix of a convolutional code C is called *reduced* (or minimal [10, 13, 30]) if the overall constraint length

$\delta = \sum_{i=1}^k \delta_i$ has the smallest value among all basic generator matrices of C ; in this case the overall constraint length δ is called the *degree* of the code. The *weight* of an element

$\mathbf{v}(D) \in \mathbb{F}_q[D]^n$ is defined as $\text{wt}(\mathbf{v}(D)) = \sum_{i=1}^n \text{wt}(v_i(D))$, where $\text{wt}(v_i(D))$ is the number of nonzero coefficients of $v_i(D)$.

Definition 3.1: [14] A rate k/n convolutional code C with parameters $(n, k, \delta; \mu, d_f)_q$ is a submodule of $\mathbb{F}_q[D]^n$ generated by a reduced basic matrix $G(D) = (g_{ij}) \in \mathbb{F}_q[D]^{k \times n}$, that is, $C = \{\mathbf{u}(D)G(D) | \mathbf{u}(D) \in \mathbb{F}_q[D]^k\}$, where n is the

length, k is the dimension, $\delta = \sum_{i=1}^k \delta_i$ is the *degree*, where $\delta_i = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \{\deg g_{ij}\}$, $\mu = \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} \{\delta_i\}$ is the *memory* and $d_f = \text{wt}(C) = \min\{\text{wt}(\mathbf{v}(D)) | \mathbf{v}(D) \in C, \mathbf{v}(D) \neq 0\}$ is the *free distance* of the code.

If $\mathbb{F}_q((D))$ is the field of Laurent series we define the weight of $\mathbf{u}(D)$ as $\text{wt}(\mathbf{u}(D)) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{wt}(u_i)$. A generator matrix $G(D)$ is called *catastrophic* if there exists a $\mathbf{u}(D)^k \in \mathbb{F}_q((D))^k$ of infinite Hamming weight such that $\mathbf{u}(D)^k G(D)$ has finite Hamming weight. The convolutional codes constructed in this paper have basic generator matrices; consequently, they are noncatastrophic.

We define the Euclidean inner product of two n -tuples $\mathbf{u}(D) = \sum_i \mathbf{u}_i D^i$ and $\mathbf{v}(D) = \sum_j \mathbf{v}_j D^j$ in $\mathbb{F}_q[D]^n$ as $\langle \mathbf{u}(D) | \mathbf{v}(D) \rangle = \sum_i \mathbf{u}_i \cdot \mathbf{v}_i$. If C is a convolutional code then its (Euclidean) dual is given by $C^\perp = \{\mathbf{u}(D) \in \mathbb{F}_q[D]^n | \langle \mathbf{u}(D) | \mathbf{v}(D) \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } \mathbf{v}(D) \in C\}$.

Giuliano Gadioli La Guardia is with Department of Mathematics and Statistics, State University of Ponta Grossa (UEPG), 84030-900, Ponta Grossa, PR, Brazil.

A. Convolutional Codes Derived From Block Codes

Let $[n, k, d]_q$ be a block code whose parity check matrix H is partitioned into $\mu + 1$ disjoint submatrices H_i such that

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} H_0 \\ H_1 \\ \vdots \\ H_\mu \end{bmatrix}, \quad (1)$$

where each H_i has n columns, obtaining the polynomial matrix

$$G(D) = \tilde{H}_0 + \tilde{H}_1 D + \tilde{H}_2 D^2 + \cdots + \tilde{H}_\mu D^\mu. \quad (2)$$

The matrix $G(D)$ with κ rows generates a convolutional code V , where κ is the maximal number of rows among the matrices H_i ; the matrices $\tilde{H}_i$, where $0 \leq i \leq \mu$, are derived from the respective H_i by adding zero-rows at the bottom in such a way that the matrix $\tilde{H}_i$ has κ rows in total.

Theorem 3.1: [2, Theorem 3] Suppose that $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$ is an $[n, k, d]_q$ code with parity check matrix $H \in \mathbb{F}_q^{(n-k) \times n}$ partitioned into submatrices $H_0, H_1, \dots, H_\mu$ as above, such that $\kappa = \text{rk } H_0$ and $\text{rk } H_i \leq \kappa$ for $1 \leq i \leq \mu$. Then the matrix $G(D)$ is a reduced basic generator matrix. Moreover, if d_f and $d_f^\perp$ denote the free distances of V and $V^\perp$, respectively, d_i denote the minimum distance of the code $C_i = \{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \mid \mathbf{v} \tilde{H}_i^t = 0\}$ and $d^\perp$ is the minimum distance of $C^\perp$, then one has $\min\{d_0 + d_\mu, d\} \leq d_f^\perp \leq d$ and $d_f \geq d^\perp$.

IV. CONSTRUCTION METHODS

Constructions of convolutional codes have appeared in the literature [7–10, 12, 25, 28, 30]. In this section we apply the method proposed by Piret [25] and recently generalized by Aly *et al.* [2, Theorem 3], which consists in the construction of convolutional codes derived from block codes, in order to generalize to convolutional codes the techniques of puncturing, extending, expanding, direct sum, the $(\mathbf{u}|\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v})$ construction and the product code construction. Throughout this section we utilize the notation given in Section III (Subsection III-A).

Remark 4.1: Although most families of convolutional codes constructed in this section consist of unit memory codes, the procedures adopted in this paper also hold in more general setting, i.e., the techniques developed here also hold to construct families of multi-memory convolutional codes as well.

A. Code Expansion

Given a basis $\beta = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m\}$ of $\mathbb{F}_q^m$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$, a *dual basis* [22] of β is given by $\beta^\perp = \{b_1^*, b_2^*, \dots, b_m^*\}$, with $\text{tr}_{q^m/q}(b_i b_j^*) = \delta_{ij}$, for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. If C is an $[n, k, d_1]_{q^m}$ code and $\beta = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m\}$ is a basis of $\mathbb{F}_q^m$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$, then the q -ary expansion $\beta(C)$ of C with respect to β is an $[mn, mk, d_2 \geq d_1]_q$ code given by $\beta(C) := \{(c_{ij})_{i,j} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{mn} \mid \mathbf{c} = (\sum_j c_{ij} b_j)_i \in C\}$. We need the following result to proceed further.

Lemma 4.2: [3, 11, 20] Let $C = [n, k, d]_{q^m}$ be a linear code over $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$, where q is a prime power. Let $C^\perp$ be the dual of the code C . Then the dual code of the q -ary expansion $\beta(C)$

of code C with respect to the basis β is the q -ary expansion $\beta^\perp(C^\perp)$ of the dual code $C^\perp$ with respect to $\beta^\perp$.

Theorem 4.3 presents a method to construct convolutional codes by expanding classical codes:

Theorem 4.3: Suppose that there exists an $(n, k, \delta; 1, d_f)_{q^m}$ convolutional code V derived from an $[n, k^*, d]_{q^m}$ code C , where $d_f \geq d^\perp$. Then there exists an $(nm, km, m\delta; 1, d_{f\beta})_q$ convolutional code, where $d_{f\beta} \geq d^\perp$.

Proof: Let V be the convolutional code generated by the reduced basic generator matrix $G(D) = H_0 + \tilde{H}_1 D$, where

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} H_0 \\ H_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

is a parity check matrix of C and $\text{rk } H_0 = k \geq \text{rk } H_1 = \text{rk } \tilde{H}_1 = \delta$. We expand $C^\perp$ by the dual basis $\beta^\perp$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$, generating the linear code $\beta^\perp(C^\perp)$ with parameters $[mn, m(n - k^*), d_\beta \geq d^\perp]_q$. From Lemma 4.2 we know that $\beta^\perp(C^\perp) = [\beta(C)]^\perp$. We can split the generator matrix H_β of $[\beta(C)]^\perp$ in the form

$$H_\beta = \begin{bmatrix} H_0^\beta \\ H_1^\beta \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\text{rk } H_0^\beta = mk$ and $\text{rk } H_1^\beta = m\delta$, because $mk + m\delta = m(n - k^*)$. Consider the polynomial matrix

$$[G(D)]_\beta = H_0^\beta + \tilde{H}_1^\beta D.$$

From construction and from Theorem 3.1, $[G(D)]_\beta$ is a reduced basic generator matrix of a convolutional code V_β . From construction, V_β has parameters $(nm, km, m\delta; 1, d_{f\beta})_q$. From Theorem 3.1, we have $d_{f\beta} \geq d^\perp$, so the result follows. (Note that the code $\beta(C)$ constructed in this proof plays the role of the code C given in Theorem 3.1). ■

B. Direct Sum Codes

Let us recall the direct sum of codes. For more details the reader can consult [13, 23] for example. Assume that $C_1 = [n_1, k_1, d_1]_q$ and $C_2 = [n_2, k_2, d_2]_q$ are two linear codes. Then the direct sum code $C_1 \oplus C_2$ is the linear code given by $C_1 \oplus C_2 = \{(\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2) \mid \mathbf{c}_1 \in C_1, \mathbf{c}_2 \in C_2\}$ and has parameters $[n_1 + n_2, k_1 + k_2, \min\{d_1, d_2\}]_q$.

Let us now prove the main result of this subsection:

Theorem 4.4: Assume that there exist an $(n_1, k_1, \delta_1; 1, d_f^{(1)})_q$ convolutional code V_1 derived from an $[n_1, k_1^*, d_1]_q$ code C_1 , where $d_f^{(1)} \geq d_1^\perp$, and an $(n_2, k_2, \delta_2; 1, d_f^{(2)})_q$ convolutional code V_2 derived from an $[n_2, k_2^*, d_2]_q$ code C_2 , where $d_f^{(2)} \geq d_2^\perp$. Then there exists an $(n_1 + n_2, k_1 + k_2, \delta_1 + \delta_2; 1, d_\oplus)_q$ convolutional code $V_\oplus$, where $d_\oplus \geq \min\{d_1^\perp, d_2^\perp\}$.

Proof: We know that V_1 has a generator matrix of the form $[G(D)]_1 = \tilde{H}_0^{(1)} + \tilde{H}_1^{(1)} D$, where

$$H^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} H_0^{(1)} \\ H_1^{(1)} \end{bmatrix}$$

is a parity check matrix of C_1 and $\text{rk } H_0^{(1)} = k_1 \geq \text{rk } H_1^{(1)} = \text{rk } \tilde{H}_1^{(1)} = \delta_1$. The code V_2 has a generator matrix of the form $[G(D)]_2 = \tilde{H}_0^{(2)} + \tilde{H}_1^{(2)}D$, where

$$H^{(2)} = \begin{bmatrix} H_0^{(2)} \\ H_1^{(2)} \end{bmatrix}$$

is a parity check matrix of C_2 and $\text{rk } H_0^{(2)} = k_2 \geq \text{rk } H_1^{(2)} = \text{rk } \tilde{H}_1^{(2)} = \delta_2$. Consider next the code $C_\oplus^\perp$ generated by

$$H_\oplus = \begin{bmatrix} H^{(1)} & 0 \\ 0 & H^{(2)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

The minimum distance of $C_\oplus^\perp$ satisfies $d(C_\oplus^\perp) = \min\{d_1^\perp, d_2^\perp\}$. We can construct a convolutional code $V_\oplus$ with generator matrix

$$[G(D)]_\oplus = \begin{bmatrix} H_0^{(1)} & 0 \\ 0 & H_0^{(2)} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{H}_1^{(1)} & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{H}_1^{(2)} \end{bmatrix} D.$$

From construction and from Theorem 3.1 $[G(D)]_\oplus$ is a reduced basic generator matrix. Again by construction, $V_\oplus$ has parameters $(n_1 + n_2, k_1 + k_2, \delta_1 + \delta_2; 1, d_\oplus)_q$ and, from Theorem 3.1, $d_\oplus \geq \min\{d_1^\perp, d_2^\perp\}$. Therefore, the proof is complete. $\blacksquare$

C. Puncturing Codes

The technique of puncturing codes is well-known in the literature [13, 23]. If C be an $[n, k, d]_q$ code then we denote by C^i the punctured code in the coordinate i . Recall that the dual of a punctured code is a shortened code. Let us show the first result of this subsection:

Theorem 4.5: Assume that there exists an $(n, k, \delta; 1, d_f)_q$ convolutional code V derived from an $[n, k^*, d]_q$ code C , where $d^\perp = d(C^\perp) > 1$ and $d_f \geq d^\perp$. Then the following hold:

- (i) If $C^\perp$ has a minimum weight codeword with a nonzero i th coordinate then there exists an $(n-1, k, \delta; 1, d_{f_i})_q$ convolutional code V_i , where $d_{f_i} \geq d^\perp - 1$;
- (ii) If $C^\perp$ has no minimum weight codeword with a nonzero i th coordinate, then there exists an $(n-1, k, \delta; 1, d_{f_i})_q$ convolutional code V_i , where $d_{f_i} \geq d^\perp$.

Proof: We only show item (ii) since item (i) is similar. Let us consider

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} H_0 \\ H_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

be a parity check matrix of C . Then we know that a reduced basic generator matrix for V is given by $G(D) = H_0 + \tilde{H}_1 D$, where $\text{rk } H_0 = k \geq \text{rk } H_1 = \text{rk } \tilde{H}_1 = \delta$. Since $d^\perp > 1$ and $C^\perp$ has no minimum weight codeword with a nonzero i th coordinate, puncturing $C^\perp$ in the i th coordinate one obtains the code $C_i^\perp$ with parameters $[n-1, n-k^*, d^\perp]_q$, where $n-k^* = \text{rk } H_0 + \text{rk } H_1$. Consider next the convolutional code V_i with generator matrix

$$[G(D)]_i = H_0^{(i)} + \tilde{H}_1^{(i)} D,$$

where $H_0^{(i)}$ is derived from H_0 by removing column i (and omitting possibly zero or duplicate rows), and $\tilde{H}_1^{(i)}$ is derived from H_1 by removing column i (and omitting possibly zero or duplicate rows) and adding zero rows if necessary (according to Theorem 3.1). Since the code $C_0^\perp$ generated by the matrix H_0 also has minimum distance $d(C_0^\perp) > 1$ then $\text{rk } H_0^{(i)} = \text{rk } H_0 = k$. By the same reasoning, $\text{rk } H_1^{(i)} = \text{rk } H_1 = \delta$. From construction and from Theorem 3.1, $[G(D)]_i$ is a reduced basic generator matrix; again by construction, the convolutional code V_i has parameters $(n-1, k, \delta; 1, d_{f_i})_q$. Applying Theorem 3.1, it follows that $d_{f_i} \geq d^\perp$, so we get the result. Note that since $(C_i^\perp) = [C_{S_i}]^\perp$ (where the latter code is the dual of the shortened code), the code C_{S_i} constructed in this proof plays the role of the code C given in Theorem 3.1. $\blacksquare$

Remark 4.6: Note that the procedure adopted in Theorem 4.5 can be generalized to puncture codes on two or more coordinates.

Let us see how to obtain convolutional codes by puncturing memory-two and memory-three convolutional codes:

Theorem 4.7: Assume that there exists an $(n, k, \delta; 2, d_f)_q$ convolutional code V derived from an $[n, k^*, d]_q$ code C , where $d^\perp = d(C^\perp) > 1$ and $d_f \geq d^\perp$. Then the following hold:

- (i) If $C^\perp$ has a minimum weight codeword with a nonzero i th coordinate then there exists an $(n-1, k, \delta; 2, d_{f_i})_q$ convolutional code V_i , where $d_{f_i} \geq d^\perp - 1$;
- (ii) If $C^\perp$ has no minimum weight codeword with a nonzero i th coordinate, then there exists an $(n-1, k, \delta; 2, d_{f_i})_q$ convolutional code V_i , where $d_{f_i} \geq d^\perp$.

Proof: We only prove item (ii); item (i) follows similarly. Let us consider

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} H_0 \\ H_1 \\ H_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

be a parity check matrix of C . Then a reduced basic generator matrix for V is given by

$$G(D) = H_0 + \tilde{H}_1 D + \tilde{H}_2 D^2.$$

We have two cases to be considered. The first one is $\text{rk } H_0 \geq \text{rk } H_2 \geq \text{rk } H_1$. In this case it follows that $\text{rk } H_0 = k$ and $\delta = 2 \text{rk } H_2$ and the computation of the parameters of the final code is performed without pain.

Let us investigate the second case, i.e., $\text{rk } H_0 \geq \text{rk } H_1 \geq \text{rk } H_2$. In the second case we have $\text{rk } H_0 = k$ and $\delta = \text{rk } H_2 + \text{rk } H_1$. Since $d^\perp > 1$ and $C^\perp$ has no minimum weight codeword with a nonzero i th coordinate, puncturing $C^\perp$ in the i th coordinate one obtains the code $C_i^\perp$ with parameters $[n-1, n-k^*, d^\perp]_q$, where $n-k^* = \text{rk } H_0 + \text{rk } H_1 + \text{rk } H_2$. Let us consider the code V_i with generator matrix

$$[G(D)]_i = H_0^{(i)} + \tilde{H}_1^{(i)} D + \tilde{H}_2^{(i)} D^2,$$

where $H_0^{(i)}$, $H_1^{(i)}$ and $H_2^{(i)}$ are derived from H_0 , H_1 , H_2 , respectively, by removing column i (and omitting possibly zero or duplicate rows). Since the code $C_0^\perp$ generated by the matrix H_0 also has minimum distance $d(C_0^\perp) > 1$ then

$\text{rk } H_0^{(i)} = \text{rk } H_0 = k$. By the same reasoning it follows that $\text{rk } H_1^{(i)} = \text{rk } H_1$ and $\text{rk } H_2^{(i)} = \text{rk } H_2$. From construction and from Theorem 3.1, $[G(D)]_i$ is a reduced basic generator matrix of a memory-two convolutional code V_i of dimension k . Since $\text{rk } H_1^{(i)} \geq \text{rk } H_2^{(i)}$ it follows that the degree $\delta^{(i)}$ of V_i is given by $\delta^{(i)} = 2 \text{rk } H_2^{(i)} + (\text{rk } H_1^{(i)} - \text{rk } H_2^{(i)}) = \delta$. Therefore the convolutional code V_i has parameters $(n-1, k, \delta; 2, d_{f_i})_q$. Applying Theorem 3.1, it follows that $d_{f_i} \geq d^\perp$, and the result follows. ■

Theorem 4.8: Assume that there exists an $(n, k, \delta; 3, d_f)_q$ convolutional code V derived from an $[n, k^*, d]_q$ code C , where $d^\perp = d(C^\perp) > 1$ and $d_f \geq d^\perp$. Then the following hold:

- (i) If $C^\perp$ has a minimum weight codeword with a nonzero i th coordinate then there exists an $(n-1, k, \delta; 3, d_{f_i})_q$ convolutional code V_i , where $d_{f_i} \geq d^\perp - 1$;
- (ii) If $C^\perp$ has no minimum weight codeword with a nonzero i th coordinate, then there exists an $(n-1, k, \delta; 3, d_{f_i})_q$ convolutional code V_i , where $d_{f_i} \geq d^\perp$.

Proof: Let us consider

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} H_0 \\ H_1 \\ H_2 \\ H_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

be a parity check matrix of C . We only compute the degree of the corresponding convolutional code V_i since the computation of the other parameters of V_i are similar as in the above proof. We have six cases. The cases $\text{rk } H_3 \geq \text{rk } H_2 \geq \text{rk } H_1$ and $\text{rk } H_3 \geq \text{rk } H_1 \geq \text{rk } H_2$ are straightforward.

If the inequalities $\text{rk } H_2 \geq \text{rk } H_3 \geq \text{rk } H_1$ or $\text{rk } H_2 \geq \text{rk } H_1 \geq \text{rk } H_3$ are true then it implies that $\delta = 3 \text{rk } H_3 + 2(\text{rk } H_2 - \text{rk } H_3) = \delta^{(i)}$.

If the inequalities $\text{rk } H_1 \geq \text{rk } H_3 \geq \text{rk } H_2$ hold then it follows that $\delta = 3 \text{rk } H_3 + (\text{rk } H_1 - \text{rk } H_3) = \delta^{(i)}$.

Finally, if the inequalities $\text{rk } H_1 \geq \text{rk } H_2 \geq \text{rk } H_3$ are true then one has $\delta = 3 \text{rk } H_3 + 2(\text{rk } H_2 - \text{rk } H_3) + (\text{rk } H_1 - \text{rk } H_2) = \delta^{(i)}$. ■

D. Code Extension

As in the case of puncturing codes, the code extension technique [13, 23] is well known in the literature. Here we extend this technique to convolutional codes.

Let C be an $[n, k, d]_q$ linear code over $\mathbb{F}_q$. The extended code C^e is the linear code given by $C^e = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n+1} \mid (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in C, x_1 + \dots + x_n + x_{n+1} = 0\}$. The code C^e is linear and has parameters $[n+1, k, d^e]_q$, where $d^e = d$ or $d^e = d+1$. Recall that a vector $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ is called *even-like* if it satisfies the equality $\sum_{i=1}^n v_i = 0$, and *odd-like* otherwise. For an $[n, k, d]_q$ code C , the minimum weight of the even-like codewords of C is called *minimum even-like weight* and it is denoted by d_{even} (or $(d)_{\text{even}}$). Similarly, the minimum weight of the odd-like codewords of C is called *minimum odd-like weight* and it is denoted by d_{odd} (or $(d)_{\text{odd}}$).

Theorem 4.9 is the main result of this subsection:

Theorem 4.9: Suppose that an $(n, k, \delta; 1, d_f)_{q^m}$ convolutional code V derived from an $[n, k^*, d^\perp]_q$ code $C^\perp$ with $d_f \geq d$ exists. Then the following hold:

- (i) If $(d)_{\text{even}} \leq (d)_{\text{odd}}$, then there exists an $(n+1, k, \delta; 1, d_{f^e})_q$ convolutional code, where $d_{f^e} \geq d$;
- (ii) If $(d)_{\text{odd}} < (d)_{\text{even}}$, then there exists an $(n+1, k, \delta; 1, d_{f^e})_q$ convolutional code, where $d_{f^e} \geq d+1$.

Proof: We only show item (ii). The code V admits a reduced basic generator matrix of the form $G(D) = G_0 + \tilde{G}_1 D$, where

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} G_0 \\ G_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

is a generator matrix of C with $\text{rk } G_0 = k \geq \text{rk } G_1 = \text{rk } \tilde{G}_1 = \delta$. Consider the extended code C^e generated by

$$G^e = \begin{bmatrix} G_0^e \\ G_1^e \end{bmatrix}.$$

From construction and from Theorem 3.1, the matrix $[G(D)]^e = G_0^e + \tilde{G}_1^e D$ is a reduced and basic generator matrix of a convolutional code V^e . By construction, V^e has parameters $(n+1, k, \delta; 1, d_{f^e})_q$. From Theorem 3.1 and from hypothesis, $d_{f^e} \geq d+1$; hence the result follows. ■

E. The $(\mathbf{u}|\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{v})$ Construction

The $(\mathbf{u}|\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{v})$ construction [13, 23] is another method for constructing new classical linear codes.

Let C_1 and C_2 be two linear codes of same length both over $\mathbb{F}_q$ with parameters $[n, k_1, d_1]_q$ and $[n, k_2, d_2]_q$, respectively. Then by applying the $(\mathbf{u}|\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{v})$ construction one can generate a new code $C = \{(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}+\mathbf{v}) \mid \mathbf{u} \in C_1, \mathbf{v} \in C_2\}$ with parameters $[2n, k_1 + k_2, \min\{2d_1, d_2\}]_q$.

Theorem 4.10 is one of the main results of this subsection:

Theorem 4.10: Assume that there exist an $(n, k_1, \delta_1; 1, d_f^{(1)})_q$ convolutional code V_1 derived from an $[n, k_1^*, d_1]_q$ code C_1 , where $d_f^{(1)} \geq d_1^\perp$, and an $(n, k_2, \delta_2; 1, d_f^{(2)})_q$ convolutional code V_2 derived from an $[n, k_2^*, d_2]_q$ code C_2 , where $d_f^{(2)} \geq d_2^\perp$. Then there exists an $(2n, k_1 + k_2, \delta_1 + \delta_2; 1, d_{f(\mathbf{u}|\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{v})})_q$ convolutional code $V(\mathbf{u}|\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{v})$, where $d_{f(\mathbf{u}|\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{v})} \geq \min\{2d_2^\perp, d_1^\perp\}$.

Proof: The code V_1 has a (reduced basic) generator matrix of the form $[G(D)]_1 = H_0^{(1)} + \tilde{H}_1^{(1)} D$, where

$$H^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} H_0^{(1)} \\ H_1^{(1)} \end{bmatrix}$$

is a parity check matrix of C_1 and $\text{rk } H_0^{(1)} = k_1 \geq \text{rk } H_1^{(1)} = \text{rk } \tilde{H}_1^{(1)} = \delta_1$. The code V_2 has a (reduced basic) generator matrix of the form $[G(D)]_2 = H_0^{(2)} + \tilde{H}_1^{(2)} D$, where

$$H^{(2)} = \begin{bmatrix} H_0^{(2)} \\ H_1^{(2)} \end{bmatrix}$$

is a parity check matrix of C_2 and $\text{rk } H_0^{(2)} = k_2 \geq \text{rk } H_1^{(2)} = \text{rk } \tilde{H}_1^{(2)} = \delta_2$. Consider the code $C_{(\mathbf{u}|\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{v})}^\perp$ generated by the matrix

$$H_{(\mathbf{u}|\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{v})} = \begin{bmatrix} H^{(1)} & 0 \\ -H^{(2)} & H^{(2)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let us compute the minimum distance $d(C_{(\mathbf{u}|\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{v})}^\perp)$ of $C_{(\mathbf{u}|\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{v})}^\perp$. The codewords of $C_{(\mathbf{u}|\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{v})}^\perp$ are of the form $\{(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}) | \mathbf{u} \in C_1^\perp, \mathbf{v} \in C_2^\perp\}$. Let us consider the codeword $\mathbf{w} = (\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v})$. If $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$ then $\mathbf{w} = (-\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v})$, so the minimum distance of $C_{(\mathbf{u}|\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{v})}^\perp$ is given by $2d_2^\perp$. On the other hand, if $\mathbf{u} \neq \mathbf{0}$ then $\text{wt}(\mathbf{w}) = \text{wt}(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}) + \text{wt}(\mathbf{v}) = d(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) + d(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{0}) \geq d(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{0}) = \text{wt}(\mathbf{u})$. Thus, in this case, the minimum distance of $C_{(\mathbf{u}|\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{v})}^\perp$ is given by $d_1^\perp$. Therefore, $d(C_{(\mathbf{u}|\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{v})}^\perp) = \min\{2d_2^\perp, d_1^\perp\}$. Next, we can construct a new convolutional code $V_{(\mathbf{u}|\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{v})}$ with generator matrix

$$[G(D)]_{(\mathbf{u}|\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{v})} = \begin{bmatrix} H_0^{(1)} & 0 \\ -H_0^{(2)} & H_0^{(2)} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{H}_1^{(1)} & 0 \\ -\tilde{H}_1^{(2)} & \tilde{H}_1^{(2)} \end{bmatrix} D.$$

From construction and from Theorem 3.1, $[G(D)]_{(\mathbf{u}|\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{v})}$ is a reduced basic generator matrix for $V_{(\mathbf{u}|\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{v})}$. Again from construction, the code $V_{(\mathbf{u}|\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{v})}$ has parameters $(2n, k_1 + k_2, \delta_1 + \delta_2; 1, d_{f_{(\mathbf{u}|\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{v})}})_q$. Finally, from Theorem 3.1, it follows that $d_{f_{(\mathbf{u}|\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{v})}} \geq \min\{2d_2^\perp, d_1^\perp\}$, and the result follows. ■

Let us see how to construct memory-two convolutional codes derived from the $(\mathbf{u}|\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v})$ construction. We call the attention for the fact that all the proposed methods can be generalized for multi-memory codes.

Theorem 4.11: Assume that there exist an $(n, k_1, \delta_1; 1, d_f^{(1)})_q$ convolutional code V_1 derived from an $[n, k_1^*, d_1]_q$ code C_1 , where $d_f^{(1)} \geq d_1^\perp$, and an $(n, k_2, \delta_2; 2, d_f^{(2)})_q$ convolutional code V_2 derived from an $[n, k_2^*, d_2]_q$ code C_2 , where $d_f^{(2)} \geq d_2^\perp$. Then there exists an $(2n, k_1 + k_2, \delta_1 + \delta_2; 2, d_{f_{(\mathbf{u}|\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{v})}})_q$ convolutional code $V_{(\mathbf{u}|\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{v})}$, where $d_{f_{(\mathbf{u}|\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{v})}} \geq \min\{2d_2^\perp, d_1^\perp\}$.

Proof: The code V_1 has a (reduced basic) generator matrix of the form $[G(D)]_1 = H_0^{(1)} + \tilde{H}_1^{(1)}D + \tilde{H}_2^{(1)}D^2$, where

$$H^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} H_0^{(1)} \\ H_1^{(1)} \\ H_2^{(1)} \end{bmatrix}$$

is a parity check matrix of C_1 . We have to consider two cases. The first case is when $\text{rk } H_0^{(1)} = k_1 \geq \text{rk } H_2^{(1)} \geq \text{rk } H_1^{(1)}$. In this case the computation of the parameters of the final code is straightforward. Let us consider the second case, i.e., $\text{rk } H_0^{(1)} = k_1 \geq \text{rk } H_1^{(1)} \geq \text{rk } H_2^{(1)}$. We know that $\delta_1 = 2 \text{rk } H_2^{(1)} + (\text{rk } H_1^{(1)} - \text{rk } H_2^{(1)})$. Similarly, let us consider the code V_2 has a (reduced basic) generator matrix of the form $[G(D)]_2 = H_0^{(2)} + \tilde{H}_1^{(2)}D + \tilde{H}_2^{(2)}D^2$, where

$$H^{(2)} = \begin{bmatrix} H_0^{(2)} \\ H_1^{(2)} \\ H_2^{(2)} \end{bmatrix}$$

is a parity check matrix of C_2 ; without loss of generality we may assume that $\text{rk } H_0^{(2)} = k_2 \geq \text{rk } H_1^{(2)} \geq \text{rk } H_2^{(2)}$. We know that $\delta_2 = 2 \text{rk } H_2^{(2)} + (\text{rk } H_1^{(2)} - \text{rk } H_2^{(2)})$. Consider the code $C_{(\mathbf{u}|\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{v})}^\perp$ generated by the matrix

$$H_{(\mathbf{u}|\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{v})} = \begin{bmatrix} H^{(1)} & 0 \\ -H^{(2)} & H^{(2)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

From the proof of Theorem 4.10, the minimum distance of $C_{(\mathbf{u}|\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{v})}^\perp$ is given by $d(C_{(\mathbf{u}|\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{v})}^\perp) = \min\{2d_2^\perp, d_1^\perp\}$.

Next, we can construct a new convolutional code $V_{(\mathbf{u}|\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{v})}$ with generator matrix

$$[G(D)]_{(\mathbf{u}|\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{v})} = \begin{bmatrix} H_0^{(1)} & 0 \\ -H_0^{(2)} & H_0^{(2)} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{H}_1^{(1)} & 0 \\ -\tilde{H}_1^{(2)} & \tilde{H}_1^{(2)} \end{bmatrix} D + \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{H}_2^{(1)} & 0 \\ -\tilde{H}_2^{(2)} & \tilde{H}_2^{(2)} \end{bmatrix} D^2.$$

From construction and from Theorem 3.1, $[G(D)]_{(\mathbf{u}|\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{v})}$ is a reduced basic generator matrix for $V_{(\mathbf{u}|\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{v})}$. Again from construction, the code $V_{(\mathbf{u}|\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{v})}$ has parameters $(2n, k_1 + k_2, \delta_{(\mathbf{u}|\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{v})}; 2, d_{f_{(\mathbf{u}|\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{v})}})_q$. It is not difficult to see that $\delta_{(\mathbf{u}|\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{v})} = \delta_1 + \delta_2$. From Theorem 3.1, it follows that $d_{f_{(\mathbf{u}|\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{v})}} \geq \min\{2d_2^\perp, d_1^\perp\}$. Therefore one can get an $(2n, k_1 + k_2, \delta_1 + \delta_2; 2, d_{f_{(\mathbf{u}|\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{v})}})_q$ convolutional code, where $d_{f_{(\mathbf{u}|\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{v})}} \geq \min\{2d_2^\perp, d_1^\perp\}$, and the result follows. ■

F. Product codes

In this section we show how to construct convolutional codes by applying the product code construction.

Recall the given by classical linear codes $C_1 = [n_1, k_1, d_1]_q$ and $C_2 = [n_2, k_2, d_2]_q$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$, the direct product code denoted by $C_1 \otimes C_2$ is the linear code over $\mathbb{F}_q$ with parameters $C_1 \otimes C_2 = [n_1 n_2, k_1 k_2, d_1 d_2]_q$. If $G_1 = (g_{ij}^{(1)})$ and $G_2 = (g_{ij}^{(2)})$ are generator matrices for C_1 and C_2 respectively, then the Kronecker product $G_1 \otimes G_2 = (g_{ij}^{(1)} g_{ij}^{(2)})$ is a generator matrix for $C_1 \otimes C_2$. We are now ready to show the next result:

Theorem 4.12: Assume that there exist an $(n_1, k_1, \delta_1; 1, d_f^{(1)})_q$ convolutional code V_1 derived from an $[n_1, k_1^*, d_1^\perp]_q$ code $C_1^\perp$, where $d_f^{(1)} \geq d_1$, and an $(n_2, k_2, \delta_2; 1, d_f^{(2)})_q$ convolutional code V_2 derived from an $[n_2, k_2^*, d_2^\perp]_q$ code $C_2^\perp$, where $d_f^{(2)} \geq d_2$. Then there exists an $(n_1 n_2, k_1 k_2, \delta_1 \delta_2; 1, d_\otimes)_q$ convolutional code $V_\otimes$, where $d_\otimes \geq d_1 d_2$.

Proof: The code V_1 has a generator matrix of the form $[G(D)]_1 = \tilde{G}_0^{(1)} + \tilde{G}_1^{(1)}D$, where

$$G^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} G_0^{(1)} \\ G_1^{(1)} \end{bmatrix}$$

is a generator matrix of the code $C_1 = [n_1, n_1 - k_1^*, d_1]_q$ and $\text{rk } G_0^{(1)} = k_1 \geq \text{rk } G_1^{(1)} = \text{rk } \tilde{G}_1^{(1)} = \delta_1$. The code V_2 has a generator matrix of the form $[G(D)]_2 = \tilde{G}_0^{(2)} + \tilde{G}_1^{(2)}D$, where

$$G^{(2)} = \begin{bmatrix} G_0^{(2)} \\ G_1^{(2)} \end{bmatrix}$$

is a generator matrix of the code $C_2 = [n_2, n_2 - k_2^*, d_2]_q$ and $\text{rk } G_0^{(2)} = k_2 \geq \text{rk } G_1^{(2)} = \text{rk } \tilde{G}_1^{(2)} = \delta_2$. Consider next the (linear) code $C_\otimes$ generated by the matrix

$$M_\otimes = \begin{bmatrix} G_0^{(1)} \otimes G_0^{(2)} \\ G_1^{(1)} \otimes G_1^{(2)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

We know that $C_{\otimes}$ is a subcode of $G^{(1)} \otimes G^{(2)}$, so $C_{\otimes}$ has minimum distance greater than or equal to $d_1 d_2$. We construct a convolutional code $V_{\otimes}$ with generator matrix

$$[G(D)]_{\otimes} = [G_0^{(1)} \otimes G_0^{(2)}] + \tilde{G}D,$$

where $G = [G_1^{(1)} \otimes G_1^{(2)}]$. From construction and from Theorem 3.1 $[G(D)]_{\otimes}$ is a reduced basic generator matrix. Again by construction, $V_{\otimes}$ has parameters $(n_1 n_2, k_1 k_2, \delta_1 \delta_2; 1, d_{\otimes})_q$, and from Theorem 3.1, $d_{\otimes} \geq d_1 d_2$. Thus the result follows. ■

V. CODE CONSTRUCTIONS AND CODE TABLES

In this section we utilize the convolutional code expansion developed in Subsection IV-A to obtain new families of convolutional codes. In order to shorten the length of this paper we only apply this technique, although it is clear that all construction methods proposed in Section IV can also be applied in order to construct new families of convolutional codes. Moreover, we do not construct explicitly the new families of convolutional codes shown in Tables I and II because the constructions are simple applications of Theorem 4.3.

Remark 5.1: It is important to observe that in all convolutional code presented in Tables I and II, we expand the codes defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$ (where $q = p^t$, $t \geq 1$ and p prime) with respect to the prime field $\mathbb{F}_p$. However, the method also holds if one expands such a codes over any subfield of the field $\mathbb{F}_q$.

In Tables I and II we tabulated only convolutional BCH codes shown in [16] for the Hermitian case, although in [16] we also construct families of convolutional BCH codes for the Euclidean case.

VI. SUMMARY

We have shown how to construct new families of convolutional codes by applying the techniques of puncturing, extending, expanding, direct sum, the $(\mathbf{u}|\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v})$ construction and the product code construction. As examples of code expansion, new convolutional codes derived from cyclic and character codes were constructed.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This research has been partially supported by the Brazilian Agencies CAPES and CNPq.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. A. Aly, A. Klappenecker, P. K. Sarvepalli. Quantum Convolutional Codes Derived from Reed-Solomon and Reed-Muller Codes. e-print arXiv:quant-ph/0701037.
- [2] S. A. Aly, M. Grassl, A. Klappenecker, M. Rötteler, P. K. Sarvepalli. Quantum convolutional BCH codes. In *Proc. Int. Symp. Inform. Theory (ISIT)*, pp. 180–183, 2007.
- [3] A. Ashikhmin, S. Litsyn, M. A. Tsfasman. Asymptotically good quantum codes. e-print arXiv:quant-ph/0006061.
- [4] C. Ding, D. Kohel, S. Ling. Elementary 2-group character codes. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 46(1):280–284, 2000.
- [5] G. D. Forney Jr. Convolutional codes I: algebraic structure. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 16(6):720–738, November 1970.
- [6] H. Gluesing-Luerssen, B. Langfeld. A Class of One-Dimensional MDS Convolutional Codes. e-print arXiv:cs/0412085.
- [7] H. Gluesing-Luerssen, J. Rosenthal and R. Smarandache. Strongly MDS convolutional codes. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 52:584–598, 2006.
- [8] H. Gluesing-Luerssen, W. Schmale. Distance bounds for convolutional codes and some optimal codes. e-print arXiv:math/0305135.
- [9] H. Gluesing-Luerssen and W. Schmale. On doubly-cyclic convolutional codes. *Applicable Algebra in Eng. Comm. Comput.*, 17(2):151–170, 2006.
- [10] H. Gluesing-Luerssen and F-L Tsang. A matrix ring description for cyclic convolutional codes. *Advances in Math. Communications*, 2(1):55–81, 2008.
- [11] M. Grassl, W. Geiselmann, and T. Beth. Quantum Reed-Solomon codes. *AAECC-13*, 1709:231–244, 1999.
- [12] K. J. Hole. On classes of convolutional codes that are not asymptotically catastrophic. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 46(2):663–669, March 2000.
- [13] W. C. Huffman and V. Pless. *Fundamentals of Error-Correcting Codes*. University Press, Cambridge, 2003.
- [14] R. Johannesson and K. S. Zigangirov. *Fundamentals of Convolutional Coding*. Digital and Mobile Communication, Wiley-IEEE Press, 1999.
- [15] A. Ketkar, A. Klappenecker, S. Kumar, and P. K. Sarvepalli. Nonbinary stabilizer codes over finite fields. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 52(11):4892–4914, November 2006.
- [16] G. G. La Guardia. On nonbinary quantum convolutional BCH codes. *Quantum Inform. Computation*, 12(9-10):0820–0842, 2012.
- [17] G. G. La Guardia. Convolutional Codes Derived From Group Character Codes. e-print arXiv:1212.4653.
- [18] G. G. La Guardia. Convolutional Codes Derived From Melas Codes. *Int. J. Pure Applied Math.*, To appear.
- [19] G. G. La Guardia. On Quantum and Classical MDS-Convolutional BCH Codes. e-print arXiv:1212.4654.
- [20] G. G. La Guardia, R. Palazzo Jr., and C. Lavor. Nonbinary quantum Reed-Solomon codes. *Int. J. Pure Applied Math.*, 65(1):55–63, 2010.
- [21] L. N. Lee. Short unit-memory byte-oriented binary convolutional codes having maximum free distance. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 22:349–352, May 1976.
- [22] R. Lidl and H. Niederreiter. *Finite Fields*. Cambridge Univ. Press, 1997.
- [23] F. J. MacWilliams and N. J. A. Sloane. *The Theory of Error-Correcting Codes*. North-Holland, 1977.
- [24] W. W. Peterson and E. J. Weldon Jr. *Error-Correcting Codes*. MIT Press, Cambridge, 1972.
- [25] Ph. Piret. *Convolutional Codes: An Algebraic Approach*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: The MIT Press, 1988.
- [26] Ph. Piret. A convolutional equivalent to Reed-Solomon codes. *Philips J. Res.*, 43:441–458, 1988.
- [27] Ph. Piret and T. Krol. MDS convolutional codes. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 29(2):224–232, 1983.
- [28] J. Rosenthal and R. Smarandache. Maximum distance separable convolutional codes. *Applicable Algebra in Eng. Comm. Comput.*, 10:15–32, 1998.
- [29] J. Rosenthal and E. V. York. BCH convolutional codes. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 45(6):1833–1844, 1999.
- [30] R. Smarandache, H. G.-Luerssen, J. Rosenthal. Constructions of MDS-convolutional codes. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 47(5):2045–2049, July 2001.

TABLE I
FAMILIES OF CONVOLUTIONAL CODES

Code Family / $(n, k, \delta; \mu, d_f)_q$	Range of Parameters	Ref.
BCH		
$(2^{2m} - 2^m, 2^{2m} - 2^m - m, m; \mu, 3)_2$	$m \geq 1$	[12]
$(2^{2m-1} - 1, 2^{2m-1} - m, m; \mu, 4)_2$	$m \geq 2$	[12]
$(2^m - 1, 2^m - 1 - (t-1)m, m; \mu, d_f \geq 2t+1)_2$	$2 \leq t < 2^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor - 1} + 1, m \geq 3$	[12]
$(2^m - 1, 2^m - 2 - (t-1)m, m; \mu, d_f \geq 2t+2)_2$	$2 \leq t < 2^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor - 1} + 1, m \geq 3$	[12]
$(n, n - r \lfloor \delta(1 - 1/q) \rfloor, \delta; 1, d_f \geq \delta + 1 + \Delta(\delta + 1, 2\delta))_q$		[2]
	$\gcd(n, q) = 1, r = \text{ord}_n(q), 2 \leq 2\delta < \delta_{\max}$	
	$\delta_{\max} = \lfloor n/(q^r - 1)(q^{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor} - 1 - (q-2)[\text{rodd}]) \rfloor$	
	$\Delta(\alpha, \beta) \geq q + \lfloor (\beta - \alpha + 3)/q \rfloor - 2$, if $\beta - \alpha \geq 2q - 3$,	
	$\Delta(\alpha, \beta) \geq \lfloor (\beta - \alpha + 3)/2 \rfloor$, otherwise	
$(n, n - 2(i-2) - 1, 2; \mu, d_f \geq i+1)_{q^2}$		[16]
	$n = q^4 - 1, q \geq 3$ prime power,	
	$3 \leq i \leq q^2 - 1$	
$(n, n - m(2q^2 - 3) - 1, m; \mu, d_f \geq 2q^2 + 2)_{q^2}$		[16]
	$n = q^{2m} - 1, q \geq 4$ prime power,	
	$m = \text{ord}_n(q^2) \geq 3$	
$(n, n - mi - 1, mj; \mu, d_f \geq i+j+2)_{q^2}$		[16]
	$n = q^{2m} - 1, q \geq 4$ prime power,	
	$m = \text{ord}_n(q^2) \geq 3, 1 \leq i = j \leq q^2 - 2$	
$(n, n - m(i-1) - 1, m; \mu, d_f \geq i+2)_{q^2}$		[16]
	$n = q^{2m} - 1, q \geq 4$ prime power,	
	$m = \text{ord}_n(q^2) \geq 3, 1 \leq i < q^2 - 1$	
$(n, n - m(i-2) - 1, 2m; \mu, d_f \geq i+2)_{q^2}$		[16]
	$n = q^{2m} - 1, q \geq 4$ prime power,	
	$m = \text{ord}_n(q^2) \geq 3, 3 \leq i < q^2 - 1$	
$(n, n - m(i-\mu) - 1, m\mu; \mu^*, d_f \geq i-\mu+4)_{q^2}$		[16]
	$n = q^{2m} - 1, q \geq 4$ prime power,	
	$m = \text{ord}_n(q^2) \geq 3, \mu \geq 3, \mu + 1 \leq i < q^2 - 1$	
$(n, n - 2i - 1, 2j; \mu, d_f \geq i+j+2)_{q^2}$		[16]
	$n = q^4 - 1, q \geq 3$ prime power,	
	$1 \leq i = j, 2 \leq i+j \leq q^2 - 2$	
Expanded BCH		
Apply Theorem 4.3 in the BCH codes above		New
RS		
$(n, \mu/2, \mu/2; 1, d_f > \mu+1)_q$		[1]
	q prime power, n odd divisor of $q^2 - 1$	
	$q+1 < n < q^2 - 1, 2 \leq \mu = 2t \leq \lfloor n/(q+1) \rfloor$	
Expanded RS		
$(rn, r\mu/2, r\mu/2; 1, d_f > \mu+1)_p$		New
	$q = p^r$ prime power, n odd divisor of $q^2 - 1$	
	$q+1 < n < q^2 - 1, 2 \leq \mu = 2t \leq \lfloor n/(q+1) \rfloor$	
RM		
$(2^{m-l}, k(r), \delta \leq 2^l - 1; \mu, d_f = 2^{m-r})_2$		[1]
	$1 \leq l \leq m, k(r) = \sum_{i=0}^r \binom{m-l}{i},$	
	$0 \leq r \leq \lfloor (m-l-1)/2 \rfloor$	
MDS		
$(n, 1, \delta; \mu, d_f = n(\delta+1))_q$	$0 \leq \delta \leq n-1, n \leq q-1$	[6]
$(n, n-2i, 2; 1, 2i+3)_q$		[19]
	$1 \leq i \leq \frac{q}{2} - 2, q = 2^t,$	
	$t \geq 3$ integer, $n = q+1$	
$(n, n-2i+1, 2; 1, 2i+2)_q$		[19]
	$q = p^t, t \geq 2$ integer, p odd prime,	
	$n = q+1, 1 \leq i \leq \frac{n}{2} - 2$	
Expanded MDS		
$(tn, t, t\delta; \mu, d_f \geq n(\delta+1))_p$		New
	$q = p^t$ prime power	
	$0 \leq \delta \leq n-1, n \leq q-1$	
$(tn, t(n-2i), 2t; 1, d_f \geq 2i+3)_2$		New
	$1 \leq i \leq \frac{q}{2} - 2, q = 2^t,$	
	$t \geq 3$ integer, $n = q+1$	
$(tn, t(n-2i+1), 2t; 1, d_f \geq 2i+2)_p$		New
	$q = p^t, t \geq 2$ integer, p odd prime,	
	$n = q+1, 1 \leq i \leq \frac{n}{2} - 2$	

TABLE II
FAMILIES OF CONVOLUTIONAL CODES

Code Family / $(n, k, \delta; \mu, d_f)_q$	Range of Parameters	Ref.
Character		
$(2^m, 2^m - s_m(u), s_m(u) - s_m(r); 1, d_f \geq 2^{r+1})_q$		[17]
$(2^m, s_m(u), s_m(u) - s_m(r); \mu, d_f^\perp \geq 2^{m-u} + 1)_q$		[17]
	q power of an odd prime, $m \geq 3$, $r, u \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 < r < u < m$,	
	$\sum_{i=u+1}^m \binom{m}{i} > \sum_{i=r+1}^u \binom{m}{i}, s_m(v) = \sum_{i=0}^v \binom{m}{i}$	
$(2^m, 2^m - s_m(u), \delta; 2, d_f \geq 2^{r+1})_q$		[17]
	q power of an odd prime, $m \geq 4$, $\delta = \sum_{i=r+1}^v \binom{m}{i}$, $r, u, v \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 < r < v < u < m$,	
	$\sum_{i=u+1}^m \binom{m}{i} \geq \sum_{i=r+1}^v \binom{m}{i} \geq \sum_{i=v+1}^u \binom{m}{i}$,	
$(l^m, l^m - S_m(u), S_m(u) - S_m(r); 1, d_f \geq (b+2)l^a)_q$		[17]
	$m \geq 3, l \geq 3$ are integers, q prime power, $l (q-1)$, $r, u \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 < r < u < m(l-1)$	
	$\sum_{i=u+1}^m \binom{m}{i}_l \geq \sum_{i=r+1}^u \binom{m}{i}_l$, $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, r = a(l-1) + b, 0 \leq b \leq l-2$,	
	$S_m(v) = \sum_{i=0}^v \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^k \binom{m}{k} \binom{m-1+i-kl}{m-1}$	
Expanded Character		
$(t2^m, t(2^m - s_m(u)), t(s_m(u) - s_m(r)); 1, d_f \geq 2^{r+1})_p$		New
$(t2^m, ts_m(u), t(s_m(u) - s_m(r)); \mu, d_f \geq 2^{m-u} + 1)_p$		New
	$q = p^t$ power of an odd prime, $m \geq 3$, $r, u \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 < r < u < m$	
	$\sum_{i=u+1}^m \binom{m}{i} > \sum_{i=r+1}^u \binom{m}{i}, s_m(v) = \sum_{i=0}^v \binom{m}{i}$	
$(t2^m, t(2^m - s_m(u)), t\delta; 2, d_f \geq 2^{r+1})_p$		New
	$q = p^t$ power of an odd prime, $m \geq 4$, $\delta = \sum_{i=r+1}^v \binom{m}{i}$ $r, u, v \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 < r < v < u < m$,	
	$\sum_{i=u+1}^m \binom{m}{i} \geq \sum_{i=r+1}^v \binom{m}{i} \geq \sum_{i=v+1}^u \binom{m}{i}$,	
$(tl^m, t(l^m - S_m(u)), t(S_m(u) - S_m(r)); 1, d_f \geq (b+2)l^a)_q$		New
	$m \geq 3, l \geq 3$ are integers, $q = p^t$ prime power, $l (q-1)$ $r, u \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 < r < u < m(l-1)$	
	$\sum_{i=u+1}^m \binom{m}{i}_l \geq \sum_{i=r+1}^u \binom{m}{i}_l$, $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, r = a(l-1) + b, 0 \leq b \leq l-2$,	
	$S_m(v) = \sum_{i=0}^v \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^k \binom{m}{k} \binom{m-1+i-kl}{m-1}$	
Melas		
$(q^m - 1, q^m - m - 1, m; 1, d_f \geq 3)_q$	$q \neq 2$ prime power, q even, $m \geq 2$	[18]
$(2^m - 1, 2^m - m - 1, m; 1, d_f \geq 5)_2$	m odd	[18]
Expanded Melas		
$(t(q^m - 1), t(q^m - m - 1), tm; 1, d_f \geq 3)_p$	$q \neq 2$ prime power, $q = p^t$ even, $m \geq 2$	New